BEFORE THE STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. CC 2007-79

SVETLANA FISHER
7976 Santa Monica Blvd.
West Hollywood, CA 90046

OAH No. L-2010080070

Optometrist License No. 9936

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the State Board of Optometry, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on May 8, 2012

It is so ORDERED _April.99 2012

FOR THE STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

	Tracer To Transpor	
.	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California	
2	GREGORY J. SALUTE	
	Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	HELENE E. SWANSON	
	Deputy Attorney General	
4	State Bar No. 130426	
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
	Telephone: (213) 620-3005	
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
-7	Attorneys for Complainant	
. /	PEFOI	RE THE
.8		OF OPTOMETRY
.	DEPARTMENT OF C	CONSUMER AFFAIRS
9	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA
10		
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. CC 2007-79
11	in the whiter of the Acousation Against.	Case 140. CC 2007-75
	SVETLANA FISHER	OAH No. L-2010080070
12	7976 Santa Monica Blvd.	
13	West Hollywood, CA 90046	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND
10	Optometrist License No. 9936	DISCIPLINARY ORDER
14	Optomodist Excesses 110. 3350	
7.5	Respondent.	
15		
16	1	
17	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGE	EED by and between the parties to the above-
18	entitled proceedings that the following matters ar	ים ליחוםי
	chitted proceedings that the following matters at	o auc.
19	PAR	TIES
20	A Sama Straight (Claus I Same) in the T	S
.20	1. Mona Maggio (Complainant) is the I	Executive Officer of the State Board of
21	Optometry. She brought this action solely in her	official capacity and is represented in this matter
• •		
22	by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the St	ate of California, by Helene E. Swanson, Deputy
23.	Attomos. Conorol	
. 2.3.	Attorney General.	
24	2. Respondent Svetlana Fisher (Respon	dent) is represented in this proceeding by
2-		
25	attorney Craig Steinberg, whose address is:	
.26	Law Offices of Craig S. Steinberg	1
	:	
:27	5737 Kanan Road, #540	
28	Agoura Hills, CA 91301	
ا بسد	ugoma iimis, on 21201	
. :		1
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (CC 2007-79)

On or about September 8, 1992, the State Board of Optometry issued Optometrist 1 License No. 9936 to Svetlana Fisher (Respondent). The Optometrist License was in full force 2 and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. CC 2007-79 and will expire on July 31, 2012, unless renewed. 4 5 JURISDICTION Accusation No. CC 2007-79 was filed before the State Board of Optometry (Board). 6 Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on March 15, 8 2010. Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. 9 A copy of the First Amended Accusation (Accusation) No. CC 2007-79 is attached as 10 Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference. 11 ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS 12 Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the 13 6. charges and allegations in Accusation No. CC 2007-79. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary 15 Order. 16 7. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a 17 hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at 18 her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to 19 present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to 20 compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration 21 and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California 22 Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws. 23 Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and 24 every right set forth above. 25 // 26 27 28

.27

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent admits to a violation of Section 3110, subdivision (q), failure to maintain adequate records pertaining to treatment of nine patients who resided and were treated at Board & Care facilities, as alleged in Accusation No. CC 2007-79.
- 10. Respondent agrees that her Optometrist License is subject to discipline and she agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

RESERVATION

11. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding and any future proceedings between the Board and Respondent, or any other proceedings in which the State Board of Optometry or other professional licensing agency in the State of California is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil action, forum or proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

- 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the State Board of Optometry. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the State Board of Optometry may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or her counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement.

It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.

15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Optometrist License No. 9936 issued to Respondent Svetlana Fisher (Respondent) is suspended. However, the suspension is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for three (3) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. OBEY ALL LAWS: Respondent shall obey all federal, state, and local laws, governing the practice of optometry in California. Respondent shall notify the Board in writing within 72 hours of any incident resulting in his/her arrest, or charges filed against, or a citation issued against Respondent.

CRIMINAL COURT ORDERS: If Respondent is under criminal court orders by any governmental agency, including probation or parole, and the orders are violated, this shall be deemed a violation of probation and may result in the filing of an accusation or petition to revoke probation or both.

OTHER BOARD OR REGULATORY AGENCY ORDERS: If Respondent is subject to any other disciplinary order from any other health-care related board or any professional licensing or certification regulatory agency in California or elsewhere, and violates any of the orders or conditions imposed by other agencies, this shall be deemed a violation of probation and may result in the filing of an accusation or petition to revoke probation or both.

2. QUARTERLY REPORTS: Respondent shall file quarterly reports of compliance under penalty of perjury to the probation monitor assigned by the Board. Quarterly report forms will be provided by the Board. Omission or falsification in any manner of any information on these reports shall constitute a violation of probation and shall result in the filing of an accusation

and/or a petition to revoke probation against Respondent's optometrist license. Respondent is responsible for contacting the Board to obtain additional forms if needed. Quarterly reports are due for each year of probation throughout the entire length of probation as follows:

For the period covering January 1st through March 31st, reports are to be completed and submitted between April 1st and April 7th.

For the period covering April 1st through June 30th, reports are to be completed and submitted between July 1st and July 7th.

For the period covering July 1st through September 30th, reports are to be completed and submitted between October 1st and October 7th.

For the period covering October 1st through December 31st, reports are to be completed and submitted between January 1st and January 7th.

Failure to submit complete and timely reports shall constitute a violation of probation.

3. COOPERATE WITH PROBATION MONITORING PROGRAM: Respondent shall comply with the requirements of the Board's probation monitoring program, and shall, upon reasonable request, report or personally appear as directed.

Respondent shall claim all certified mail issued by the Board, respond to all notices of reasonable requests timely, and submit Reports, Identification Update reports or other reports similar in nature, as requested and directed by the Board or its representative.

Respondent is encouraged to contact the Board's Probation Program at any time he/she has a question or concern regarding his/her terms and conditions of probation.

Failure to appear for any scheduled meeting or examination, or cooperate with the requirements of the program, including timely submission of requested information, shall constitute a violation of probation and may result in the filing of an accusation and/or a petition to revoke probation against Respondent's Optometrist license.

- 4. FUNCTION AS AN OPTOMETRIST: Respondent shall function as an optometrist for a minimum of 60 hours per month for the entire term of her probation period, except for ordinary vacations or due to illness or injury.
 - 5. NOTICE TO EMPLOYER: Respondent shall provide to the Board the names,

physical addresses, mailing addresses, and telephone number(s) of all employers and shall give specific, written consent that the licensee authorizes the Board and the employers to communicate regarding the licensee's work status, performance, and monitoring. Monitoring includes, but is not limited to, any violation of any probationary term and condition.

Respondent shall be required to inform her employer, and each subsequent employer during the probation period, of the discipline imposed by this decision by providing her director and all subsequent directors with a copy of the decision and order, and the Accusation in this matter prior to the beginning of or returning to employment or within 14 days from each change in a director.

The Respondent must ensure that the Board receives written confirmation from the employer that she is aware of the Discipline, on forms to be provided to the Respondent. The Respondent must ensure that all reports completed by the employer are submitted from the employer directly to the Board. Respondent is responsible for contacting the Board to obtain additional forms if needed.

6. CHANGES OF EMPLOYMENT OR RESIDENCE: Respondent shall notify the Board, and appointed probation monitor in writing, of any and all changes of employment, location, and address within 14 days of such change. This includes but is not limited to applying for employment, termination or resignation from employment, change in employment status, and change in supervisors, administrators or directors.

Respondent shall also notify his/her probation monitor AND the Board IN WRITING of any changes of residence or mailing address within 14 days. P.O. Boxes are accepted for mailing purposes; however the Respondent must also provide his/her physical residence address as well.

7. COST RECOVERY: Respondent shall pay to the Board a sum not to exceed the costs of the investigation and prosecution of this case. That sum shall be \$21,869.75 and shall be paid in full directly to the Board, in a Board approved payment plan, within six (6) months from the end of the Probation term. Cost recovery will not be tolled.

If Respondent is unable to submit costs timely, she shall be required instead to submit an explanation of why he/she is unable to submit these costs in part or in entirety, and the date(s) she

. .

will be able to submit the costs, including payment amount(s). Supporting documentation and evidence of why the Respondent is unable to make such payment(s) must accompany this submission.

Respondent understands that failure to submit costs timely is a violation of probation and submission of evidence demonstrating financial hardship does not preclude the Board from pursuing further disciplinary action. However, Respondent understands that by providing evidence and supporting documentation of financial hardship may delay further disciplinary action.

Consideration to financial hardship will not be given should Respondent violate this term and condition, unless an unexpected AND unavoidable hardship is established from the date of this order to the date payment(s) is due. The filing of bankruptcy by the Respondent shall not relieve the Respondent of his/her responsibility to reimburse the Board for these costs.

8. TAKE AND PASS CALIFORNIA LAWS AND REGULATIONS

EXAMINATION: Before the probationary term is completed, Respondent shall take and pass the California Laws and Regulations Examination (CLRE). If Respondent fails this examination, Respondent must take and pass a re-examination as approved by the Board. The waiting period between repeat examinations shall be at six month intervals until success is achieved. Respondent shall pay the established examination fees. If Respondent has not taken and passed the examination prior to the end of probation, Respondent shall be considered to be in violation of probation.

- 9. VALID LICENSE STATUS: Respondent shall maintain a current, active and valid license for the length of the probation period. Failure to pay all fees and meet CE (continuing education) requirements prior to her license expiration date shall constitute a violation of probation.
- 10. TOLLING FOR OUT-OF-STATE RESIDENCE OR PRACTICE: Periods of residency or practice outside California, whether the periods of residency or practice are temporary or permanent, will toll the probation period but will not toll the cost recovery requirement, nor the probation monitoring costs incurred. Travel outside of California for more

3

4 5

6

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

than 30 days must be reported to the Board in writing prior to departure. Respondent shall notify the Board, in writing, within 14 days, upon her return to California and prior to the commencement of any employment where representation as an optometrist is/was provided.

Respondent's license shall be automatically cancelled if Respondent's periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California total two years. However, Respondent's license shall not be cancelled as long as Respondent is residing and practicing in another state of the United States and is on active probation with the licensing authority of that state, in which case the two year period shall begin on the date probation is completed or terminated in that state.

11. LICENSE SURRENDER: During Respondent's term of probation, if she ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons, or is otherwise unable to satisfy any condition of probation, Respondent may surrender her license to the Board. The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and exercise its discretion whether to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances, without further hearing. Upon formal acceptance of the tendered license and wall certificate, Respondent will no longer be subject to the conditions of probation. All costs incurred (i.e., Cost Recovery) are due upon reinstatement.

Surrender of Respondent's license shall be considered a Disciplinary Action and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board.

- 12. VIOLATION OF PROBATION: If Respondent violates any term of the probation in any respect, the order staying the suspension of Respondent's license will be revoked automatically. If an accusation or a petition to revoke probation is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended. No petition for modification of discipline shall be considered while there is an accusation or petition to revoke probation or other discipline pending against Respondent.
- 13. COMPLETION OF PROBATION: Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate license shall be fully restored.

17.

14. SALE OR CLOSURE OF AN OFFICE AND/OR PRACTICE: If Respondent sells or closes her office after the imposition of administrative discipline, Respondent shall ensure the continuity of patient care and the transfer of patient records. Respondent shall also ensure that patients are refunded money for work/services not completed or provided, and shall not misrepresent to anyone the reason for the sale or closure of the office and/or practice. The provisions of this condition in no way authorize the practice of optometry by the Respondent during any period of license suspension.

15. MONITOR BILLING AUDIT: Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall provide to the Board or its designee the names and qualifications of three auditors. The Board or its designee shall select one of the three auditors to audit Respondent's billings and patient records for compliance with this condition of probation. During said audit, twenty (20) randomly selected client billing and patient records shall be reviewed per quarter during the period of probation, in accordance with accepted auditing/accounting standards and practices to ensure that the examinations and/or tests billed for were completed. The records reviewed will be records from at or after the start of probation. If requested by the Board, the Board shall be advised of the results of the audit, and may obtain any and all copies of any documents audited or the results of the audit, upon request. The cost of the audits shall be borne by Respondent. Failure to pay for the audits in a timely fashion or failure to provide the Board with the audit results and/or copies of the audited records within ten (10) days from audit completion shall constitute a violation of probation.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that:

1. Restricted Practice. Respondent shall be permanently prohibited from providing optometry treatment to patients at skilled nursing faculties, nursing homes, residential care facilities, Board and Care facilities, and assisted living facilities. This condition shall continue until such time, if ever, Respondent successfully petitions the Board for the reinstatement of her ability to perform such examinations. Respondent understands and agrees that the Board is under no obligation to reinstate Respondent's ability to perform such examinations, that the Board has made no representations concerning whether any such reinstatement might occur, and that the

1	decision to reinstate is within the sole discretion of the Board.		
2	2. Full Compliance. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order as a		
3	resolution to the charges in the Accusation is contingent upon Respondent's full compliance with		
4	the condition of this Order, set forth in Paragraph I above. If Respondent fails to satisfy this		
5	condition, she agrees the Board can file a supplemental accusation for unprofessional conduct		
6	based on her failure to comply with the term set forth in Paragraph I above as an independent		
7	basis for disciplinary action. In the event that Respondent fails to satisfy the above condition,		
8	Respondent understands and agrees that the Board will be entitled to proceed on the supplemental		
9	accusation based on her failure to comply with the above condition.		
10	ACCEPTANCE		
11	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully		
12	discussed it with my attorney, Craig Steinberg. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will		
13	have on my Öptometrist License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order		
14	voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the		
15	State Board of Optometry.		
16	DATED 2-25-12 Sulthan from		
17			
18	SVETLANA FISHER Respondent		
19	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Svetlana. Fisher the terms and conditions		
20.	and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve		
21	its form and content.		
22	DATED: 2-26-12		
23	CRAIG S. STEINBERG, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent		
24			
25.			
26			
27			
28			

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
1	ENDORSEMENT					
2	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully					
3	submitted for consideration by the State Board of Optometry of the Department of Consumer					
. 4	Affairs.					
5						
6	Dated: February , 2012 Respectfully submitted,	<u>.</u>				
7	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California					
8	GREGORY J. SALUTE Supervising Deputy Attorney General					
9		-				
10	Miller E. Juliuson					
11	HELENE E. SWANSON Deputy Attorney General					
12	Attorneys for Complainant					
13						
14						
15	LA2009602506 60728891.doc					
16		• .				
17						
18						
19		, ,				
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
1						

Exhibit A

Accusation No. CC 2007-79

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS			
2	Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General HELENE E. SWANSON			
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 130426			
	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702			
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 620-3005			
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804			
7	E-mail: Helene.Swanson@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant			
8	BEFORE THE			
9	STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. CC 2007-79			
12	SVETLANA FISHER 7976 Santa Monica Blvd.			
13	West Hollywood, CA 90046 FIRST AMENDED A C C U S A T I O N			
14				
15	Optometrist License No. 9936			
16	Respondent.			
17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
18	Complainant alleges:			
	Complainant alleges:			
19	Complainant alleges: <u>PARTIES</u>			
19 20				
	<u>PARTIES</u>			
20	PARTIES 1. Mona Maggio (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as			
20 21	PARTIES 1. Mona Maggio (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the State Board of Optometry, Department of Consumer Affairs.			
20 21 22	PARTIES 1. Mona Maggio (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the State Board of Optometry, Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about September 8, 1992, the State Board of Optometry issued Optometrist			
20 21 22 23	PARTIES 1. Mona Maggio (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the State Board of Optometry, Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about September 8, 1992, the State Board of Optometry issued Optometrist License Number 9936 to Svetlana Fisher (Respondent). The Optometrist License was in full			
20 21 22 23 24	PARTIES 1. Mona Maggio (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the State Board of Optometry, Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about September 8, 1992, the State Board of Optometry issued Optometrist License Number 9936 to Svetlana Fisher (Respondent). The Optometrist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on July 31,			
20 21 22 23 24 25	PARTIES 1. Mona Maggio (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the State Board of Optometry, Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about September 8, 1992, the State Board of Optometry issued Optometrist License Number 9936 to Svetlana Fisher (Respondent). The Optometrist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on July 31, 2012, unless renewed.			
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	PARTIES 1. Mona Maggio (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the State Board of Optometry, Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about September 8, 1992, the State Board of Optometry issued Optometrist License Number 9936 to Svetlana Fisher (Respondent). The Optometrist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on July 31, 2012, unless renewed. ///			

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the State Board of Optometry (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board/Registrar/Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
 - 5. Section 3090 of the Code states:

"Except as otherwise provided by law, the board may take action against all persons guilty of violating this chapter or any of the regulations adopted by the board. The board shall enforce and administer this article as to licenseholders, and the board shall have all the powers granted in this chapter for these purposes, including, but not limited to, investigating complaints from the public, other licensees, health care facilities, other licensing agencies, or any other source suggesting that an optometrist may be guilty of violating this chapter or any of the regulations adopted by the board."

6. Section 3110 of the Code states:

"The board may take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct, and may deny an application for a license if the applicant has committed unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- (a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter or any of the rules and regulations adopted by the board pursuant to this chapter.
 - (b) Gross negligence.

26 || .

(d) Incompetence.

II .

- (q) The failure to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to his or her patients. . . ."
 - 7. California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 1510, provides as follows:

"Inefficiency in the profession is indicated by the failure to use, or the lack of proficiency in the use of the ophthalmoscope, the retinoscope, the ophthalmometer (or keratometer), tonometer, biomicroscope, any one of the modern refracting instruments such as the phoroptor, refractor, etc., or the phorometer-trial frame containing phoria and duction measuring elements or a multicelled trial frame, trial lenses, and prisms, in the conduct of an ocular examination; the failure to make and keep an accurate record of findings, lack of familiarity with, or neglect to use, a tangent screen or perimeter or campimeter; and the failure to make a careful record of the findings when the need of the information these instruments afford is definitely indicated."

COST RECOVERY

8. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

9. On or about June 2007, nurse evaluators Elizabeth Schein and Priscilla Tan, who were and are employed by the California Department of Health Care Services (DHCS), Audits and Investigations Division, began their investigation and audit, which included reviewing the patient records of twenty patients examined and treated by Respondent who resided at various Board and Care facilities. ¹² Services were rendered by Respondent to those patients and Medi-

(continued...)

In California in the early 1970's the residential care system was established to provide non institutional home based services to dependent care groups such as the elderly, developmentally disabled, mentally disordered and child care centers under the supervision of the Department of Public Social Services. At that time, homes for the elderly were known as Board and Care Homes and the name still persists as a common term to describe a licensed residential care home. In the vernacular of the State, these homes are also known as "Residential Care Facilities for the Elderly".

Cal was billed for 68 services provided to those patients between 2002 through 2006. The DHCS
records at issue in this matter concern patient records for service dates from approximately
January 2005 through December 2005.

10. Nine patient records that were reviewed were billed as comprehensive eye examinations, on separate dates of service (DOS), as follows:

_ -	Record No.	Patient ID ³	Patient Date of Birth	Date of Service
	1	A	4/24/66	1/28/05
	5	В	10/28/49	3/21/05
	7	D	6/5/81	3/23/05
	. 8	Е	12/25/39	7/22/05
	9	F	3/21/47	4/12/05
	14	J	1/9/57	4/26/05
	17	M	11/19/49	4/26/05
	23	Q	11/3/62	5/4/05
	26	T	12/12/72	9/2/05

11. A second level of review of the medical records, some of which are identified above, was performed by DHCS Medi-Cal Vision Care Program Consultant, Cory Vu, O.D. Based upon his review, Dr. Vu determined that there was poor or inadequate documentation in the majority of records, most of the eye examination forms failed to include Respondent's signature, there was

Residential care facilities do not provide skilled nursing services (such as giving injections, unless there is a credentialed RN or LVN individual working in the home), but they do provide assistance with all daily living activities, such as bathing and dressing. The patient records at issue in this Accusation note that these patients had eye examinations at the following Board and Care facilities: Gilmar Manor, Rosewood, Walkers Boarding Care, Pleasant View, and Westside Manor.

² On or about June 2007, the DHCS requested that Respondent provide additional patient records for 20 patients; 10 records from her office located at 7976 Santa Monica Blvd., West Hollywood, CA, and 10 records from her office located at 906 San Fernando Road, San Fernando, CA.

³ To protect the patient's privacy, they will each be identified only by an assigned letter identification. The patient records were provided to Respondent's attorney on or about April 19, 2010, in response to a request for discovery from Respondent's attorney. Complainant's attorney did not receive any further requests for patient records, information or any other discovery from Respondent's attorneys.

	1_
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7

widespread omission of vision tests on the eye records, and various violations involving Medi-Cal requirements. On or about July 25, 2008, a telephone exit conference was held with Respondent, Respondent's attorney, Dr. Vu, Ms. Schein and Ms. Tan, where the preliminary audit findings that had been sent by fax to her were discussed, and she was given an opportunity to respond to the findings.

12. In a letter dated August 6, 2007, DHCS referred the case to the Board of Optometry for review of the services provided by Respondent to her patients.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence and / or Incompetence)

- 13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 3110, subdivisions (b) and (d), in that Respondent provided grossly negligent and / or incompetent care and treatment to her patients, as referenced in Paragraph 10, above, as follows:
 - a) Respondent failed to complete or had inadequate medical histories in Record Nos.1, 9, 14, 17, and 23.
 - b) Respondent failed to do a required annual dilated eye exam for those patients diagnosed with diabetes (see Record Nos. 5 and 8.).
 - c) Although it was noted in Record No. 5 that the patient had background diabetic retinopathy and reduced best corrected visual acuity (BCVA), Respondent failed to dilate the patient and determine whether the reduced visual acuity was from the diabetic retinopathy which would have necessitated a referral to a retinal specialist for laser treatment.
 - d) Respondent failed to determine whether there were any signs of diabetic retinopathy in the eyes of the patient in Record No. 8.
 - e) Respondent failed to perform, or improperly performed, two routine tests for glaucoma, *i.e.*, tonometry and ophthalmoscopy, which are a required standard of care for comprehensive eye examinations. Specifically, Respondent failed to perform tonometry measurements, or intraocular pressure, in Record Nos. 14 and 23 and failed to note the time that the tonometry test was performed in Record Nos. 1,

1		a Fisher to pay the State Board of Optometry the reasona				
2	the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section					
3	125.3; and					
4	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.					
5.						
-6	DATED: March 1, 2011	May O Maga	·			
7	DATED:March 1, 2011	MONA MAGGIO				
8		State Board of Optometry	Executive Officer State Board of Optometry			
9		Department of Consumer Affairs State of California				
10		Complainant				
11	LA2009602506					
12	60609510.docx					
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26	·					
27						
28						
I	l i	_				